

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,077	10/16/2003		Timothy E. O'Connell	33249-pa	33249-pa 9083	
37095	7590	02/07/2005		EXA	MINER	
BERNHARI 1331 GARDE		EN, ESQ & ASS	WILSO	WILSON, LEE D		
SUITE 300		WAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SACRAMEN	TO, CA	95833	3723			

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/687,077	O'CONNELL, TIMOTHY E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEE D WILSON	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 11- 12 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11- 12 and 16-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
A 44						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. No. 09/430,390 (which is now U.S. pat. 6,695,290). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the lifting jack assembly and combination have already been disclosed and simply broading the claims with a means clauses do not define over the previous patents allowable subject matter. Fudhermore, the dependent claims also claim elements which do not distinquish over the previous application now patent. The general combination being set out in this application is inferentially claimed because all of the parts of the are parts of the previous application now patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/687,077 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

2. Claim11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Claim 11 claims a combination with a standard but there is no structure limiting the standard so the claim is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11- 12 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis (4616970).

Lewis discloses a lifting jack accessory having a standard (18), a sleeve means (the inside area of elements 14 and 16), an adjunct (12) with a clevis hole (62 which has a hole but not a through hole), a spike (which is the point of 44, by definition a spike is just a sharpe point), a plurality of teeth (21), and a nose (which is just one of the top corners of the adjunct).

5. Claims 11-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Akrep (6102351).

Akrep discloses a lifting jack accessory having a sleeve means (the inside

Application/Control Number: 10/687,077

Art Unit: 3723

area of elements 19 and 20 which is the inside of the tube), an adjunct (15) with a clevis hole (6), a chain lock (10) a spike (which is just one of the top corners of the adjunct), and a nose (which is other of the top corners of the adjunct).

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 18 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose a lifting jack.
 - b. The applicant does not disclose a lifting jack because an accessory is not a jack. (see your preamble). The amendment standard mean nothing because it has no structure and it is not even clear what it supposed to be without the benefits of your arguments. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a lifting jack) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). This means all of the arguments are off point.
 - c. All the applicant has to do is add the structure which provides a jack as claimed in claim 18 and put it in claim 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,077 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

d. Therefore the prior art still reads on the invention.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huang, Spector, and Oliviero et al disclose an invention.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

February 3, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER